



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/665,748	09/18/2003	William T. Wilkinson	WIL-118US	6982
31344	7590 06/07/2005		EXAMINER	
RATNERPRESTIA P.O. BOX 1596			CONLEY, FREDRICK C	
WILMINGTON, DE 19899			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3673	

DATE MAILED: 06/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	{
<u>~</u>	10/665,748	WILKINSON, WILLIAM 1	Τ.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	FREDRICK C CONLEY	3673	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address -	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a report. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI statute, cause the application to become ABA	ly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	11 March 2005.		
	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for all		s, prosecution as to the merit	s is
closed in accordance with the practice un	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims	•		
4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-54 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wite 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☑ Claim(s) 1-54 are subject to restriction and	hdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the continuous three continuous transfer is objected to by the continuous transfer in the continuous transfer	accepted or b) objected to be other drawing(s) be held in abeyance orrection is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have been received. ments have been received in Ap priority documents have been re ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	• 4) 🔯 Interview Su		
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
S. Patent and Trademark Office TOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	ice Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 2005	50518

M

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-36 and 42-50, drawn to a system for adjustably positioning, classified in class 5, subclass 632.
- II. Claims 37-41 and 51-54, drawn to a method of promoting rest, classified in class 600, subclass 26.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product can be employed in supporting multiple body portions.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 3673

This application also contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention I drawn to a system for adjustably positioning:

Species I figures 1A & 1B

Species II figure 2

Species III figure 3

Sub-Species A figure 4A

Sub-Species B figure 4B

Sub-Species C figure 5

Sub-Species D figure 6.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species and a single sub-species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Art Unit: 3673

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Rex A. Donnelly on 5/18/05 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to FREDRICK C CONLEY whose telephone number is 571-272-7040. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, HEATHER SHACKELFORD can be reached on 571-272-7049. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

FC (ji)

> HEATHER SHACKELFORD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600